



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FU | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/010,743 12/06/2001 | | 2/06/2001 | David W. Aucsmith | 10559/463001/P10875 | 2946 |
| 20985 | 7590 | 03/15/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON, PC | | | | DERWICH, KRISTIN M | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2132 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| AUCSMITH ET AL. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | | | 12102 | |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE F | REPLY FILED <u>02 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| † | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nowa Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a rtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| | \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection | | |
| ь) [| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | h in the final rejection, wh | ichever is later. In |
| -, . | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | | | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | IE FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| have b under : set fort may re | cions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the string in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing d | t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | e filed within two month | ns of the date of |
| 1 | filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed IDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | to avoid dismissal of th | |
| | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f will not be entered b | ecause |
| | (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | 00000 |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | , | |
| (| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially r | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (| (d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. 🔲 | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 | See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| | For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | rill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| , | Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | • |
| AFFID | AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 1 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. 🗆 ° | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c | overcome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| | showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | | | |
| | IEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | ii of the status of the claims after t | entry is below or attact | ieu. |
| | The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowar | nce because: |
| | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| 13. 🔲 | Other: | GILBERTO BARRON | $\sqrt{}$ | |
| | | GILBERTO BARRON | 514 | |
| | | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA | MINER | |
| | | | | |

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments introduce updating a firewallwhich was not previously considered. Accordingly, they raise new issues and require further search and consideration.